IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 501 OF 2003

Mrs.Bhaktawar Rumi Battiwala. ...Petitioner
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Shri Subhod Desai for Respondent No.2.
Ms.P.H. Kantharia, APP for State.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.

DATED : AUGUST 2, 2006

P.C. :-

. None appears on behalf of the petitioner. Heard Shri Desai, learned Counsel for the respondent no.2.

2. Shri Desai, learned Counsel for the respondent no.2 invited my attention to the impugned order. It is stated that there is no infirmity in the said order. It is submitted that accused no.3 was convicted by the trial Court and it was directed that the amount which was recovered at the instance of accused should be returned to TELCO.

3. I have perused the impugned order. I do not see any infirmity in the order passed by the Magistrate or

by the Sessions Court in revision. There is no merit in the grounds which are raised in this petition.

4. Petition, therefore, is rejected.

5. At this stage, learned Counsel seeks continuation of the interim order granted by the Court. The prayer is rejected.

(V.M. Kanade, J.)